



RESERVE BANK
OF AUSTRALIA



OENOVIVA



The Commonwealth



Friday, 18 March 2022

Australian Government Solicitor (A Bankrupt)
C/- Australian Government Solicitor's Office
(Liquidator and Managing Controller Appointed)
10th Floor, 60 Martin Place, Sydney, NSW, 2000.

Email : ben.may@ags.gov.au

Cc : Jeffrey P. Colwell

Clerk of Court

United States District Court

For the District of Colorado

By email: cod_prose_filing@cod.uscourts.gov

cc: Ms Jenne Esch

By email: Eschjenne@gmail.com

Mr Eric Esch

By email: Eric.esch300@gmail.com

FILED
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DENVER, COLORADO

9:41 am, Mar 21, 2022

JEFFREY P. COLWELL, CLERK

Case No : 1;22-cv-00173-DDD-STV; *Garrett et al v Garrett et al*

Case No : 1;22-cv-00243-DDD-STV; *Garrett v Secretary General and Secretariat of the United Nations & Anor*

Case No : 1;22-cv-00254-DDD-STV; *Garrett et al v Suntory et al*

Mr May,


I refer to your correspondence dated 18th March 2022 (your letter) and respond as follows, in regard to your query regarding my courriel contact shown as attorney.general@commonwealth-attorney-general.org you appear to be confused.

The Commonwealth Attorney General's Office is the Office of the Crown Attorney General for the Commonwealth of Nations (My Hereditary Office) as a hereditary public office established on the 4th August 2020 that I occupy when I exercised hereditary discretionary public powers conferred under enactments and removed the then Australian Attorney General from his office¹ for the reasons set out in my letter to US Federal Attorney General Cole Finegan for the District of Colorado.²

The Commonwealth, the States and Territories of Australia (Liquidator and Managing Controller Appointed) is one of the Queen's Dominions (Liquidator and Managing Controller Appointed) that is in my possession and control as Liquidator and Managing Controller Appointed to the Crown (Liquidator and Managing Controller Appointed) : I was appointed as Managing Controller to the Crown Globally on the 1st June 2019 under the FIRST ENACTMENT.³

¹ Annexure 1: <https://oenoviva-capital-resources.com/2020/08/05/exhibit-amg-1915/> AMG 1915 THE SECOND ENACTMENT CORRIGENDUM AMG and Ors terminating Australian Attorney General variation of Aust People Future Fund
² Annexure 2 AMG 5441 Case 1;22-cv-00173-DDD-STV; Document 36 Crown Attorney General to Attorney General Cole Finegan dated 16th March 2022 annexing AMG 5430 (attached)

³ AMG 5099 Stamped Document 21-1 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; Letter to UK Attorney General 12.07.2019; AMG 15a;



Service

Thank you for acknowledging service.

Duty to Confer

I endeavoured to call you by telephone at 2.26pm on the number in your letter however it was engaged please call me at my cell phone number when you are free.

+61 450 831 708

Standing

The transcripts of the 10th November 2020 in proceeding AAT-2020-2280 & 3143; *The Australian People Future Fund v Reserve Bank of Australia*⁴ have been entirely clear to you as to my standing and subsequent changes since at least the 25th May 1983 : If you wish to contest my standing you will need to make your submissions to a Judicial Officer presiding in the proceedings in which regard you will need to support your submissions with evidence.

AMG 5100 Stamped Document 21-2 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; Concerns Letter to RBA dated 16.06.2019 pg 1-40 ; AMG 15b

AMG 5101 Stamped Document 21-3 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; Concerns Letter to RBA dated 16.06.2019 pg 41-100 ; AMG 15c

AMG 5102 Stamped Document 21-4 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; Concerns Letter to RBA dated 16.06.2019 pg 101-162; AMG 15d

AMG 5103 Stamped Document 21-5 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; THE FIRST ENACTMENT; The Notice dated 1st June 2019 Pg 1-100; AMG 15e

AMG 5104 Stamped Document 21-6 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; THE FIRST ENACTMENT; The Notice dated 1st June 2019 Pg 101-443; AMG 15f

AMG 5105 Stamped Document 21-7 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; THE FIRST ENACTMENT; The Notice dated 1st June 2019 Pg 444-887; AMG 15g

AMG 5106 Stamped Document 21-8 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; THE FIRST ENACTMENT; The Notice dated 1st June 2019 Pg 888-987; AMG 15h

AMG 5107 Stamped Document 21-9 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; THE FIRST ENACTMENT; The Notice dated 1st June 2019 Pg 988-1,057; AMG 15i

AMG 5108 Stamped Document 21-10 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; THE FIRST ENACTMENT; The Notice dated 1st June 2019 Pg 1,058-1,233; AMG 15j

AMG 5109 Stamped Document 21-11 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; THE FIRST ENACTMENT; The Notice dated 1st June 2019 Pg 1,234-1,433; AMG 15k

AMG 5110 Stamped Document 21-12 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; THE FIRST ENACTMENT; The Notice dated 1st June 2019 Pg 1,434-1,513; AMG 15l

AMG 5111 Stamped Document 21-13 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; THE FIRST ENACTMENT; The Notice dated 1st June 2019 Pg 1,514-1,580; AMG 15m

AMG 5112 Stamped Document 21-14 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; THE FIRST ENACTMENT; The Notice dated 1st June 2019 Pg 1,581-1,594; AMG 15n

AMG 5113 Stamped Document 21-15 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; THE FIRST ENACTMENT; The Notice dated 1st June 2019 Pg 1,595-1,610; AMG 15o

AMG 5114 Stamped Document 21-16 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; THE FIRST ENACTMENT; The Notice dated 1st June 2019 Pg 1,611-1,628; AMG 15p

AMG 5115 Stamped Document 21-17 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD-STV; THE FIRST ENACTMENT; The Notice dated 1st June 2019 Pg 1,629-1,788; AMG 15q

⁴ AMG 2841 AAT-2020_2280 & 3143 transcript of hearing on 10-11-2020 is set out in a number of documents on the court documents already filed



OENOVIVA



I have explained to you on more than one occasion that you do not have standing to contest my standing or my orders and writs.

Exercise of Discretionary Public Powers Conferred under Enactments

You say :

1. *We observe, however, that under Australian law, Mr Andrew Garrett is an undischarged bankrupt and (subject to certain presently irrelevant exceptions) any rights that he may have had to commence proceedings have vested in his trustee in bankruptcy.*

Your statement above is clearly not correct you have had adequate opportunity to dispute the facts that have been before you for some time, the alleged orders you refer to were obtained by fraud and breaches of separation of powers by Vincent Tavolaro of your office.

THE SECOND ENACTMENT⁵: FINDINGS OF FACTS AND REASONS (FFR) JUDGEMENT; CORRIGENDUM AMG 1915; FFR; WRIT OF CERTIORARI, INJUNCTION & MANDAMUS; CORRIGENDUM; & *REGINA (Managing Controller Appointed) & OenoViva Capital Resources & Australian People Future Fund v The Crown (Managing Controller Appointed) & Ors* as address and copied dated 4th August, 2020 is a 151 page judgement found by me exercising my hereditary discretionary public powers that were previously the property of the Windsor Family until the 1st June 2019.

Amongst other things FFR Corrigendum: AMG 1915 sets out :

Page 4 :

In the aforementioned capacities I have published Findings of Fact & Reasons (“FFR”) in respect to Declaratory Relief and Judicial Review related to making of “Alleged Decisions” in “the Proceedings” by Courts and Tribunals referred to in Exhibit AMG 2000 (“the Proceedings”), that purport to be binding decisions made by Decision Makers holding Public Office in the exercise of discretionary public powers conferred under an enactment in which regard I have found as a Fact that :

A. the Alleged Decisions rely on , REGINA (Managing Controller Appointed) and the Common Law as the source of power and for “validity” in which regard the Exercise of Discretionary Public Powers by Public Officials in the Territory of the Commonwealth of Australia must be consistent with the Public Trust.

B. the Alleged Decisions are all nullities and are invalid (without exception): because of breaches of Exhibit AMG 252 as an enforceable Code of Conduct, Jurisdictional Error, are vitiated by fraud and are breaches of Separation of Powers & Rule of Law as expressed in Exhibit AMG 252 and predecessor enactments. (“The Nullity Findings of Fact”)

Page 5 :

⁵ AMG 5015 Stamped Document 17-51 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD; THE SECOND ENACTMENT AMG 1915 varying APFF



OENOVIVA



2. FFR Judgment, Delegation to Delegate Ian Henke, OA (He/Him),

In order to give you the opportunity to have “the matters arising” to be heard by an impartial tribunal I have instructed you that Delegate Ian Henke, OA is appointed by me exercising my discretionary public powers as my delegate by this Delegation to preside over the hearings currently on foot and the subject of The Nullity Findings of Fact.

I confirm that I have found as a fact that the exercise of Discretionary Public Powers Conferred under an enactment by persons purportedly exercising Judicial Discretion as property of The Crown in respect to Proceedings related to him to be Nullities as a consequence of interference by the Crown in the Jury Decision and otherwise.

I set aside all Orders & Findings of Fact made against Delegate Ian Henke, OA and persons associated with ITR (Exhibits AMG 27, AMG 28, AMG 623 – AMG 626) (“The ITR Group”) in Proceedings related to the ITR Group (“the ITR Proceedings”) and hereby quash all convictions made in the ITR Proceedings and find that the ITR Proceedings were at all materials times a Nullity as Jurisdictional Error and a criminal abuse of Power of the State.

As you know I was appointed Liquidator to Australia on the 14th August 2020 by the SECOND ENACTMENT served on you and filed on the 3rd October 2020.⁶

You have not contested that I am the source of discretionary public power by way of the FOURTH ENACTMENT dated 17th October 2020⁷ served on James Baines Leslie Allsop (A Bankrupt) the then alleged Chief Justice of the Federal Court of Australia.

2.


For the assistance of the Court, we also make the following observations:

- *AGS is a Group within the Attorney-General’s Department of the Commonwealth of Australia (that is, of the Australian federal government).*
- *There is also an individual who occupies the office of the Australian Government Solicitor, which is established by s 55J of the Australian Judiciary Act 1903 (Cth). The persons and bodies for whom the Australian Government Solicitor may provide services are restricted by s 55N of that Act.*
- *Mr Garrett is not the Attorney-General of the Commonwealth of Australia, nor does he have any power under Australian law to issue a “Writ of Mandamus”.*

You appear to be saying that *the Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act 1900 (Uk)* (“**the Constitution**”) is invalid in its entirety which I do not accept as it has been adopted by me in deciding to re-license the Crown subject to the existence of my Office of Crown Attorney General, if it is invalid as you suggest then *the Judiciary Act 1903 (Au)* (together with the

⁶ AMG 5029 Stamped Document 17-65 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD; THE THIRD ENACTMENT AMG 2445 Appointment as Liquidator

⁷ Federal Court of Australia files SAD 139, SAD 140, SAD 141, SAD 142, SAD 143, SAD 144 of 2020; AMG 2542; REX Grant of License to the Crown dated 17th October 2020 Responsible Government Reset



Constitution “**the Enactments**”) does not exist and your office does not exist, your letter suggests that you believe that above mentioned enactments are in fact valid in which regard *the Judiciary Act* 1903 (Au) sets out as follows

55N Persons and bodies for whom the AGS may provide services

(1) In performing its functions, the AGS may provide services to the following:

- (a) the Commonwealth;
- (b) a person suing or being sued on behalf of the Commonwealth;
- (c) a Minister of the Commonwealth;
- (d) a body established by an Act or regulations or by a law of a Territory;
- (e) an officer of, or a person employed by:
 - (i) the Commonwealth; or
 - (ii) a body established by an Act or regulations or by a law of a Territory;
- (f) a person holding office under an Act or a law of a Territory;
- (g) a member of the Defence Force;
- (h) a company in which the Commonwealth has a controlling interest (including a company in which the Commonwealth has a controlling interest through one or more interposed Commonwealth authorities or Commonwealth companies);
- (i) a person who has at any time been a person referred to in paragraph (c), (e), (f) or (g).

Note: For **Territory** see subsection (5).

(2) In performing its functions, the AGS may provide services to the following persons and bodies if the AGS receives a request to do so from the executive government of the State concerned or of Norfolk Island (as the case requires):

- (a) a State or Norfolk Island;
- (b) a person suing or being sued on behalf of a State or of Norfolk Island;
- (c) a Minister for a State or a member of the Government of Norfolk Island;
- (d) a body established by a law of a State or of Norfolk Island;
- (e) a person employed by:
 - (i) a State or Norfolk Island; or
 - (ii) a body established by a law of a State or of Norfolk Island;
- (f) a person holding office under a law of a State or of Norfolk Island;
- (g) a company in which a State or Norfolk Island has a controlling interest (including a company in which the State or Norfolk Island has a controlling interest through one or more interposed State or Norfolk Island authorities or State or Norfolk Island companies).

Note: For **State** see section 55L.

(3) The AGS may provide services to a person or body, or class of persons or bodies, not referred to in subsection (1) or (2) if:


- (a) the Attorney-General requests the AGS to do so; and
- (b) to do so would be within the functions of the AGS.

(4) The AGS may provide services to a person or body, or class of persons or bodies, not referred to in subsection (1) or (2) if:

- (a) the CEO so determines; and
- (b) to do so would be within the functions of the AGS.

(5) In this section:

Territory does not include the Australian Capital Territory, the Northern Territory or Norfolk Island.



Part IX—Suits by and against the Commonwealth and the States

56 Suits against the Commonwealth

- (1) A person making a claim against the Commonwealth, whether in contract or in tort, may in respect of the claim bring a suit against the Commonwealth:
 - (a) in the High Court;
 - (b) if the claim arose in a State or Territory—in the Supreme Court of that State or Territory or in any other court of competent jurisdiction of that State or Territory; or
 - (c) if the claim did not arise in a State or Territory—in the Supreme Court of any State or Territory or in any other court of competent jurisdiction of any State or Territory.
- (2) For the purposes of paragraphs (b) and (c) of the last preceding subsection:
 - (a) any court exercising jurisdiction at any place in the capital city of a State, or in the principal or only city or town of a Territory, that would be competent to hear the suit if the Commonwealth were, or had at any time been, resident in that city or town, or in a particular area in that city or town, is a court of competent jurisdiction; and
 - (b) any other court is not a court of competent jurisdiction if its competence to hear the suit would depend upon the place where the Commonwealth resides or carries on business or at any time resided or carried on business.

57 Suits by a State against the Commonwealth

Any State making any claim against the Commonwealth, whether in contract or in tort, may in respect of the claim bring a suit against the Commonwealth in the High Court.

58 Suits against a State in matters of federal jurisdiction

Any person making any claim against a State, whether in contract or in tort, in respect of a matter in which the High Court has original jurisdiction or can have original jurisdiction conferred on it, may in respect of the claim bring a suit against the State in the Supreme Court of the State, or (if the High Court has original jurisdiction in the matter) in the High Court.

59 Suits between States

Any State making any claim against another State may in respect of the claim bring a suit against that State in the High Court.

60 Injunction against a State and its officers

In a suit against a State brought in the High Court, the High Court may grant an injunction against the State and against all officers of the State and persons acting under the authority of the State, and may enforce the injunction against all such officers and persons.

61 Suits by Commonwealth

Suits on behalf of the Commonwealth may be brought in the name of the Commonwealth by the Attorney-General or by any person appointed by him or her in that behalf.

62 Suits by a State

Suits on behalf of a State may be brought in the name of the State by the Attorney-General of the State, or by any person appointed by him or her in that behalf.



OENO VIVA



63 Service of process when Commonwealth or State is party

Where the Commonwealth or a State is a Party to a suit, all process in the suit required to be served upon that party shall be served upon the Attorney-General of the Commonwealth or of the State, as the case may be, or upon some person appointed by him or her to receive service.

64 Rights of parties

In any suit to which the Commonwealth or a State is a party, the rights of parties shall as nearly as possible be the same, and judgment may be given and costs awarded on either side, as in a suit between subject and subject.

65 No execution against Commonwealth or a State

No execution or attachment, or process in the nature thereof, shall be issued against the property or revenues of the Commonwealth or a State in any such suit; but when any judgment is given against the Commonwealth or a State, the Registrar or other appropriate officer shall give to the party in whose favour the judgment is given a certificate in the form of the Schedule, or to a like effect.

66 Performance by Commonwealth or State

On receipt of the certificate of a judgment against the Commonwealth or a State the Minister for Finance or the Treasurer of the State as the case may be shall satisfy the judgment out of moneys legally available.

67 Execution by Commonwealth or State

When in any such suit a judgment is given in favour of the Commonwealth or of a State and against any person, the Commonwealth or the State, as the case may be, may enforce the judgment against that person by process of extent, or by such execution, attachment, or other process as could be had in a suit between subject and subject.

Exercise of Discretionary Public Powers Conferred under the Enactments

The Question arises whether you have properly and validly exercised your discretionary public powers in the Public Interest⁸ as a Public Trust.

I hereby exercise my hereditary discretionary public powers conferred under enactments, including section 61 of the Constitution as my personal property and find as a fact that your letter is a Fraud; being false Misleading and Deceptive on the Grounds set out in the materials that have been disclosed to you in the clous at the following address :

<https://1drv.ms/u/s!AtRcQcdl2OsT7S9yCux-MITpdpXd?e=Hsaxmf>

I find as a fact that you seek to commit a Fraud on the US Federal District Court consistent with that which remains uncontested in VID 949 of 2015⁹, pervert the course of justice as a breach of the Public Trust.

If you wish to contest this finding of fact you may write to be with submissions by close of business 5.00 pm Monday 21st of March 2022 after which I will make criminal findings of fact against you under the Indictments that are on the Court file.¹⁰

⁸ Case 1:22-cv-00173-DDD-STV Document 17-87 Filed 02/14/22 AIAL FORUM No. 48; THE PUBLIC INTEREST WE KNOW IT'S IMPORTANT, BUT DO WE KNOW WHAT IT MEANS

⁹ Case 1:22-cv-00173-DDD-STV Document 17-88 Filed 02/14/22 USDC Colorado Page 1 of 90

¹⁰ AMG 5049 Stamped Document 17-85 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD; Garrett; Indictments Tender Bundle AMG 4




OENOVIVA



You should treat this communicate also as a Common Law Notice to Admit Facts.

ALL RIGHTS RESERVED

Kind Regards,



Signature: _____

CEO/ Chairman/ Managing Trustee of the Boards of Trustees of the Andrew Garrett Family Trust No 4 trading as Banque Capital Dynamique, Banca Como, OenoViva Capital Resources (Global) and the Australian People Future Fund (Global) , The Crown Attorney General to Commonwealth of Nations, Managing Controller and Liquidator appointed to the Crown (Liquidator and Managing Controller Appointed



RESERVE BANK
OF AUSTRALIA



OENOVIVA



The Commonwealth



16 mars 2022

FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DENVER, COLORADO
03/16/2022
JEFFREY P. COLWELL, CLERK

U.S. Attorney Cole Finegan
Main Office
1801 California Street
Suite 1600
Denver, CO 80202
Fax: (303) 454-0400
Email : USACO.PublicAffairs@usdoj.gov
Cc the AGS : processservice@ags.gov.au

28 U.S. Code § 2467 – Enforcement of foreign judgment
REQUEST TO REGISTER FOREIGN JUDGEMENT

Dear Attorney General,

I stand for a number of Nations within the meaning of 28 U.S. Code § 2467(a) and write to you with regard to the provisions of the above described code in which regard this request is sent under 28 U.S. Code § 2467(b).

I have instructed the Australian Government Solicitor (A Bankrupt) to prepare submissions to me for review that are compliant with the requirements of :

28 U.S. Code § 2467 (b)

(1) IN GENERAL. —A foreign nation seeking to have a forfeiture or confiscation judgment registered and enforced by a district court of the United States under this section shall first submit a request to the Attorney General or the designee of the Attorney General, which request shall include—

(A) a summary of the facts of the case and a description of the proceedings that resulted in the forfeiture or confiscation judgment.

(B) Certified [1] copy of the forfeiture or confiscation judgment.

(C) an affidavit or sworn declaration establishing that the foreign nation took steps, in accordance with the principles of due process, to give notice of the proceedings to all persons with an interest in the property in sufficient time to enable such persons to defend against the charges and that the judgment rendered is in force and is not subject to appeal; and

(D) such additional information and evidence as may be required by the Attorney General or the designee of the Attorney General.

I exercise hereditary discretionary public powers conferred under enactments enacted since the 1st June 2019 that are referred to in my recent correspondence with APRA (Liquidator and Managing Controller Appointed), AUSTRAC (Liquidator and Managing Controller Appointed), ASIC (Liquidator and Managing Controller Appointed) and AGSO (Liquidator and Managing Controller Appointed) (the AGS).



OENO VIVA



S61 of the *Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act* 1900 (UK) became my personal property on the 1st June 2019 at which time I was also appointed as Managing Controller to the Crown (Liquidator and Managing Controller Appointed) (the Crown), subsequently on the 14th August 2020 I was appointed as Liquidator to the Crown.

On the 4th August 2020 I exercised my powers and removed the Australian Attorney General and his successors and assigns from his public office for breach of the Public Trust by failing to exercise discretionary public powers conferred under the *Commonwealth of Australia Constitution Act* 1900 (UK)

The aforementioned statements of facts are incontestable and have not been contested by any person at any time; estoppel in all its forms applies.

I do not seek to reopen purported matters allegedly heard in courts and tribunals of Australia and the United Kingdom related to me. Exercising my powers I have already set aside all previous declarations, statements and orders on grounds of Fraud unravels all.

The judgment(s) made by me that I seek to enforce under US Law are many and are contained in 5,430 exhibits contained in the Public Interest Disclosure to Public Officials located in the cloud at :

https://1drv.ms/b/s!AtRcQcdl2OsTiflOVxS8_XlBkbJUbg?e=KoZIw1

An Index updated to the 6th February 2022 is shown at :

https://1drv.ms/b/s!AtRcQcdl2OsTieB3IwqyBU6_08PTxw?e=QSPsXT

You will observe I have commenced proceedings in the US Federal District Court for the District of Colorado to enforce the audited balance sheet against the Reserve Bank of Australia.

1. Case 1;22-cv-00173-DDD-STV; *Garrett et al v Garrett et al* (The Rule of Law Omnibus)
2. Case 1;22-cv-00243-DDD; *Garrett v Secretary General and Secretariat of the United Nations and Anor* (the Principles of Federation)

Document 5 filed in the Principle of Federation Proceedings.....rather than choke your emails up with date I direct your attention to Pacer Monitor <https://www.pacermonitor.com/>

- Case 1;22-cv-00173-DDD-STV; *Garrett et al v Garrett et al* (The Rule of Law Omnibus)
https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/43317470/Garrett_et_al_v_Garrett_et_al
- Case 1;22-cv-00206-DDD-STV; *Esch et al v Carbonhelix et al* (the CarbonHelix Element)
https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/43349195/Esch_et_al_v_CarbonHelix_et_al
- Case 1;22-cv-00243-DDD-STV; *Garrett et al v Secretary General and Secretariat of the United Nations and Anor* (The Principle of Federation Element)
https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/43383252/Garrett_v_Secretary_General_and_the_Secretariat_of_the_United_Nations_The_et_al



OENO VIVA



- Case 1:22-cv-00254-DDD; *Garrett et v Suntory Holdings Limited (Managing Controller Appointed) et al* (the Suntory Element)
https://www.pacermonitor.com/public/case/43389455/Garrett_et_al_v_Suntory_Limited_et_al

The hyperlinks are direct links to the case file and evidence with evidence lodged thus far in which regard I have sought direction from the court regarding the sheer volume.

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Colorado



ANDREW MORTON GARRETT, CARBONHELIX LLC
, ANDREW GARRETT GROUP PTY LTD, ANDREW
GARRETT WINE RESORTS PTY LTD,
AND AS SHOWN AT THE ANNEXURE

Plaintiff(s)

v.

ANDREW MORTON GARRETT AS TRUSTEE OF
THE BANKRUPT ESTATE OF CHRIS KOURAKIS,
AND AS SHOWN AT THE ANNEXURE

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No. 22-cv-00173-DDD-STV

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: (Defendant's name and address) Reserve Bank of Australia (Liquidator & Managing Controller Appointed), Anthony Leon and Dickman (A Bankrupt) The Secretary to Board of Governors 65 Martin Pl, Sydney N SW 2000 Email secretary@rba.gov.au & C/-Australian Government Solicitor (A Bankrupt) Email ; processservice@ags.gov.au; Cc Andrew Morton Garrett, Liquidator and Managing Controller, Unit 3/11 Harvey Street, Nailsworth, South Australia, 5083 Email; attorney.general@commonwealth-attorney-general.org

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ. P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney, whose name and address are:

Andrew Morton Garrett, Plaintiff
Unit 3/11 Harvey Street, Nailsworth, South Australia, 5083
Email; attorney.general@commonwealth-attorney-general.org
Phone; +61 450 831 708

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint. You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: 02/25/2022

s/A. Thomas, Deputy Clerk
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk





OENOVIVA



I have written to Pro Se filing today to upload AMG 5430 Individual Civil Summons 22-cv-00173-DDD-STV; *Garrett et al v Garrett et al*; Summons to Reserve Bank of Australia and affidavit of Service.

A copy of that exhibit is attached to this communicate which attaches my email of yesterdays date addressed to the AGS which sets out my orders, in the nature of Mandamus, to prepare all documents and things that may be required by you in considering whether you will certify or not:

(2) CERTIFICATION OF REQUEST. — The Attorney General or the designee of the Attorney General shall determine whether, in the interest of justice, to certify the request, and such decision shall be final and not subject to either judicial review or review under subchapter II of chapter 5, or chapter 7, of title 5 (commonly known as the “Administrative Procedure Act”).

(c) JURISDICTION AND VENUE. —

(1) IN GENERAL. — If the Attorney General or the designee of the Attorney General certifies a request under subsection (b), the United States may file an application on behalf of a foreign nation in district court of the United States seeking to enforce the foreign forfeiture or confiscation judgment as if the judgment had been entered by a court in the United States.

(3) PROCEEDINGS. —In a proceeding filed under paragraph (1)—

(A) the United States shall be the applicant and the defendant, or another person or entity affected by the forfeiture or confiscation judgment shall be the respondent;

(E) venue shall lie in the district court for the District of Columbia or in any other district in which the defendant or the property that may be the basis for satisfaction of a judgment under this section may be found; and

(F) the district court shall have personal jurisdiction over a defendant residing outside of the United States if the defendant is served with process in accordance with rule 4 of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

(d) ENTRY AND ENFORCEMENT OF JUDGMENT. —

(1) IN GENERAL. —The district court shall enter such orders as may be necessary to enforce the judgment on behalf of the foreign nation unless the court finds that—

(A) the judgment was rendered under a system that provides tribunals or procedures incompatible with the requirements of due process of law.

(B) the foreign court lacked personal jurisdiction over the defendant.

(C) the foreign court lacked jurisdiction over the subject matter.



OENO VIVA



(D) the foreign nation did not take steps, in accordance with the principles of due process, to give notice of the proceedings to a person with an interest in the property of the proceedings [2] in sufficient time to enable him or her to defend; or

(E) the judgment was obtained by fraud.

(2) PROCESS. — Process to enforce a judgment under this section shall be in accordance with rule 69(a) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure.

(3) PRESERVATION OF PROPERTY. —

(A) Restraining orders. —

(i) In general. — To preserve the availability of property subject to civil or criminal forfeiture under foreign law, the Government may apply for, and the court may issue, a restraining order at any time before or after the initiation of forfeiture proceedings by a foreign nation.

(ii) Procedures. —

(I) In general. - A restraining order under this subparagraph shall be issued in a manner consistent with subparagraphs (A), (C), and (E) of paragraph (1) and the procedural due process protections for a restraining order under section 983(j) of title 18.

(II) Application. — For purposes of applying such section 983(j)—

(aa) references in such section 983(j) to civil forfeiture or the filing of a complaint shall be deemed to refer to the applicable foreign criminal or forfeiture proceedings; and

(bb) the reference in paragraph (1)(B)(i) of such section 983(j) to the United States shall be deemed to refer to the foreign nation.

(B) Evidence. — The court, in issuing a restraining order under subparagraph (A)—

(i) may rely on information set forth in an affidavit describing the nature of the proceeding or investigation underway in the foreign country, and setting forth a reasonable basis to believe that the property to be restrained will be named in a judgment of forfeiture at the conclusion of such proceeding; or

(ii) may register and enforce a restraining order that has been issued by a court of competent jurisdiction in the foreign country and certified by the Attorney General pursuant to subsection (b)(2).

(B) Limit on grounds for objection. — No person may object to a restraining order under subparagraph (A) on any ground that is the subject of parallel litigation involving the same property that is pending in a foreign court.



OENOVIVA



(e) *FINALITY OF FOREIGN FINDINGS.* — In entering orders to enforce the judgment, the court shall be bound by the findings of fact to the extent that they are stated in the foreign forfeiture or confiscation judgment.

(f) *CURRENCY CONVERSION.* — The rate of exchange in effect at the time the suit to enforce is filed by the foreign nation shall be used in calculating the amount stated in any forfeiture or confiscation judgment requiring the payment of a sum of money submitted for registration.

(Added Pub. L. 106–185, § 15(a), Apr. 25, 2000, 114 Stat. 219; amended Pub. L. 107–56, title III, § 323, Oct. 26, 2001, 115 Stat. 315; Pub. L. 111–342, § 2, Dec. 22, 2010, 124 Stat. 3607.)

Kind Regards,



Signature: _____

CEO/ Chairman/ Managing Trustee of the Boards of Trustees of the Andrew Garrett Family Trust No 4 trading as Banque Capital Dynamique, Banca Como, OenoViva Capital Resources (Global) and the Australian People Future Fund (Global) , The Crown Attorney General to Commonwealth of Nations, Managing Controller and Liquidator appointed to the Crown (Liquidator and Managing Controller Appointed

AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

for the

District of Colorado



FILED

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
DENVER, COLORADO

9:46 am, Mar 16, 2022

JEFFREY P. COLWELL, CLERK

ANDREW MORTON GARRETT, CARBONHELIX LLC
, ANDREW GARRETT GROUP PTY LTD, ANDREW
GARRETT WINE RESORTS PTY LTD,
AND AS SHOWN AT THE ANNEXURE

Plaintiff(s)

v.

ANDREW MORTON GARRETT AS TRUSTEE OF
THE BANKRUPT ESTATE OF CHRIS KOURAKIS,
AND AS SHOWN AT THE ANNEXURE

Defendant(s)

Civil Action No. 22-cv-00173-DDD-STV

SUMMONS IN A CIVIL ACTION

To: *(Defendant's name and address)* Reserve Bank of Australia (Liquidator & Managing Controller Appointed), Anthony Leon
ard Dickman (A Bankrupt) The Secretary to Board of Governors 65 Martin Pl, Sydney N
SW 2000 Email secretary@rba.gov.au & C/-Australian Government Solicitor (A Bankru
pt) Email ; processservice@ags.gov.au; Cc Andrew Morton Garrett, Liquidator and Man
aging Controller, Unit 3/11 Harvey Street, Nailsworth, South Australia, 5083 Email; attor
ney.general@commonwealth-attorney-general.org

A lawsuit has been filed against you.

Within 21 days after service of this summons on you (not counting the day you received it) — or 60 days if you
are the United States or a United States agency, or an officer or employee of the United States described in Fed. R. Civ.
P. 12 (a)(2) or (3) — you must serve on the plaintiff an answer to the attached complaint or a motion under Rule 12 of
the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure. The answer or motion must be served on the plaintiff or plaintiff's attorney,
whose name and address are:

Andrew Morton Garrett, Plaintiff
Unit 3/11 Harvey Street, Nailsworth, South Australia, 5083
Email; attorney.general@commonwealth-attorney-general.org
Phone; +61 450 831 708

If you fail to respond, judgment by default will be entered against you for the relief demanded in the complaint.
You also must file your answer or motion with the court.

CLERK OF COURT

Date: 02/25/2022

s/A. Thomas, Deputy Clerk
Signature of Clerk or Deputy Clerk



AO 440 (Rev. 06/12) Summons in a Civil Action (Page 2)

Civil Action No. 22-cv-00173-DDD-STV

PROOF OF SERVICE

(This section should not be filed with the court unless required by Fed. R. Civ. P. 4 (l))

This summons for *(name of individual and title, if any)* Reserve Bank of Australia (Managing Controller Appointed)
was received by me on *(date)* _____.

☐ I personally served the summons on the individual at *(place)* _____
_____ on *(date)* _____; or

☐ I left the summons at the individual's residence or usual place of abode with *(name)* _____
_____, a person of suitable age and discretion who resides there,
on *(date)* _____, and mailed a copy to the individual's last known address; or


☒ I served the summons on *(name of individual)* Andrew Morton Garrett, Liqu., Managing Controller, who is
designated by law to accept service of process on behalf of *(name of organization)* Reserve Bank of Australia
(Liquidator & Managing Controller Appointed) on *(date)* _____; or


☐ I returned the summons unexecuted because _____; or

☐ Other *(specify)*: _____

My fees are \$ _____ for travel and \$ _____ for services, for a total of \$ 0.00.

I declare under penalty of perjury that this information is true.





Date: 12th, 26th February 2022 and 15th March 2022

Server's signature

ANDREW MORTON GARRETT : CEO/ Chairman/ Managing Trustee of the Boards of Trustees of the Andrew Garrett Family Trust No 4 trading as Banque Capital Dynamique, Banca Como, OenoViva Capital Resources (Global) and the Australian People Future Fund (Global), The Crown Attorney General to Commonwealth of Nations, Managing Controller and Liquidator appointed to the Crown (Liquidator and Managing Controller Appointed)

Printed name and title

3/ 11 Harvey Street, Nailsworth, South Australia, 5083

Server's address

Additional information regarding attempted service, etc:

AMG 4792; SERVICE OF RULE OF LAW OMNIBUS COMPLAINT ON AUSTRALIAN PARLIAMENT [sic.] Case 122-cv-00173-DDD; Garrett et al v Garrett et al; CONFERRAL OF AUSTRALIAN JURISDICTION is a copy of email of service on 12th February 2022 on the Australian Government Solicitor (A Bankrupt) (the AGS) of the Summons and Originating Process. AMG 3517a & AMG 5317b; Service of Sealed Individual Party Summons; 1;2022-CV-00173-DDD-STV; Garrett et al v Garrett et al PART 1 & 2 on the 26th February 2022 on the Office of the AGS. My Orders in the nature of Mandamus for compliance are set out in the email the same day to the AGS, in accordance with the Model Litigant Obligations of the Crown (Liquidator and Managing Controller Appointed) service was effected on the AGS by email on the 18th February 2022 of the Originating Process of 1;22-cv-00243-DDD; Garrett in an email to the Plaintiffs and the Defendants and acknowledged by the AGS on the 15th March 2022

ANNEXURE

PLAINTIFFS CONTINUED

- YARRA GLEN VINEYARDS MANAGEMENT PTY LTD
- YARRA GLEN VINEYARDS HOLDINGS PTY LTD,
- SUNBURST PROPERTIES PTY LTD
- SUNBURST HOLDINGS PTY LTD
- BRAIDWOOD MANAGEMENT PTY LTD
- BRAIDWOOD OPERATIONS PTY LTD
- AGWATER PTY LTD
- BRAIDWOOD WATER PTY LTD
- JENNE MARIE ESCH,
- ERIC ESCH,
- RAW & CO LIMITED,

And as otherwise named in the Materials

DEFENDANTS CONTINUED

- ANDREW M. GARRETT AS LIQUIDATOR AND MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO THE SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE PARLIAMENT OF AUSTRALIA,
- ANDREW M. GARRETT AS LIQUIDATOR AND MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO THE HOUSE OF LORDS AND HOUSE OF COMMONS OF WESTMINSTER PARLIAMENT OF UNITED KINGDOM,
- ANDREW M. GARRETT AS LIQUIDATOR AND MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO THE SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE PARLIAMENT OF CANADA,
- ANDREW M. GARRETT AS LIQUIDATOR AND MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO THE SENATE AND HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE PARLIAMENT OF NEW ZEALAND,
- SENATE AND THE HOUSE OF REPRESENTATIVES OF THE PARLIAMENT OF UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
- ANDREW M. GARRETT AS MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO THE SECRETARIAT OF THE COMMONWEALTH OF NATIONS AS MANAGING TRUSTEE FOR THE CHARTER & BILL OF RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT FUND NO. 1,
- SECRETARIAT OF THE UNITED NATIONS AS MANAGING TRUSTEE FOR THE CHARTER & BILL OF RIGHTS ENFORCEMENT FUND NO. 2,
- ANDREW M. GARRETT AS TRUSTEE OF THE BANKRUPT ESTATE OF MARK PRECIOUS,
- CARBONHELIX LLC (MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED),
- ANDREW M. GARRETT AS TRUSTEE OF THE BANKRUPT ESTATE OF DAVID STEVENS,
- ANDREW M. GARRETT AS TRUSTEE OF THE BANKRUPT ESTATE OF JAMES MILLER,

- ANDREW M. GARRETT AS TRUSTEE OF THE BANKRUPT ESTATE OF JERSEY GREEN,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS TRUSTEE OF THE BANKRUPT ESTATE OF RICK BAILEY,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS TRUSTEE OF THE BANKRUPT ESTATE OF STEVEN SHERMAN,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS TRUSTEE OF THE BANKRUPT ESTATE OF GEOFFREY LINDQUIST,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO REDPOINT FINANCIAL GROUP,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO BDO GROUP HOLDINGS LIMITED,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO NATIONAL LAW COUNCIL OF AUSTRALIA,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO CPA AUSTRALIA LIMITED,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO GENESIS GLOBAL TRADING COMPANY LIMITED,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO NATIONAL AUSTRALIA BANK LIMITED,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS LIQUIDATOR MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO RESERVE BANK OF AUSTRALIA,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO ANZ BANKING GROUP LIMITED,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO WESTPAC BANK CORPORATION LIMITED,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO N.M. ROTHSCHILD & SONS (AUSTRALIA) LIMITED,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO PERPETUAL LIMITED,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS LIQUIDATOR MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO INVESTEC AUSTRALIA LIMITED,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO MINTER ELLISON LIMITED,
 - ANDREW M. GARRETT AS MANAGING CONTROLLER APPOINTED TO AUSTRALIAN STOCK EXCHANGE LIMITED
- And as otherwise named in the Materials

andrew.garrett@oenoviva-capital-resources.com

From: andrew.garrett@oenoviva-capital-resources.com
Sent: Saturday, 12 February 2022 11:20 AM
To: Legal & Constitutional Affairs; 'sean.fewster@news.com.au'; 'England, Cameron'; 'valerina.changarathil@news.com.au'; 'senator.oneil@aph.gov.au'; 'senator.pratt@aph.gov.au'; 'senator.patrick@aph.gov.au'; 'steve.georganas.mp@aph.gov.au'; 'virginia.briggs@minterellison.com'; 'info@c-g.com.au'; 'Greg May'; 'Warren Slade'; Kishen Vijadass; Mike Garrett; Steve Fimano; Chris Kourakis; 'ags@ags.gov.au'; Vincent Tavolaro; Reserve Bank of Australia (Liquidator and managing Controller Appointed); Chris Jordan
Cc: 'clientrelations@lsc.sa.gov.au'; National Partnership on Legal Assistance; 'galgie@ejchambers.com.au'; 'smitchell@anthonymasonchambers.com.au'; Scott Laidlaw; Claudio Salvagni; Mario Pugliese; Adrian Bertino-Clarke; Ali Lababidi; Andrew Green (mrageen@hotmail.com); Anna Nowak; APFF Legal Team; Athula Herath; Catherine Fritsch; Catherine Syries-Milne; Chris Hill; Chris McCarthy; Chris Page; Christos Balabinis; Corazon Shacklady; Cristian Famigliuolo; 'Dae So'; Daniel Ekow; 'didier milne'; Doc Alexander Hamilton; Doug Durst ; drpnhammer@citisinoholdings.com; Elizabeth Asiedu-Mensah; Eric Esch; erick.haryadi@oenoviva-capital-resources.com; Evgeny Chernov; fourwinds2003@gmail.com; Frank Johnson; Frederico Bizzotto; Graeme Hodge; Ian Henke; info@zappworx.com; Lyke Umah; Jay Kim; jean-charles@jcpnet.com; 'Jean-Pierre BARTHE'; Jemma Robertson; Jenne Esch; Joe Bring; John Thomson; Jose Castrejon; Juan Vazquez Guerrero; Ksennia Gopkalo; Madame Ju Li Lin; Marcos Freitas; Matteo Galasso; Maurizio Pezzoni; Michelle Scerri; Mohamed. A. A. Mohamed; Nestor Jesus Acosta Inbanez; Orrett Hyman; OVCR Legal Team; Paul Rigby; Peter Halliday; Peter Kerin; Peter Szanto; Peter Tran; Phillippe Puren; Robert Douglas; Robert Nowak; Ronak Shah; Scott Mitchell; Tom Francis Hayward Garrett; Victor Diakonov; Yildirim Bakir
Subject: AMG 4792; SERVICE OF RULE OF LAW OMNIBUS COMPLAINT ON AUSTRALIAN PARLIAMENT [sic.] Case 122-cv-00173-DDD; Garrett et al v Garrett et al; CONFERRAL OF AUSTRALIAN JURISDICTION
Attachments: AMG 4787 Stamped Document 14-4 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD; Copy of AMG 4728 requesting orders for dispensing service on self.pdf; AMG 4786 Stamped Document 14-3 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD; Copy of Rule 4 served On Director.pdf; AMG 4785 Stamped Document 14-2 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD; Garrett v Garrett ; Copy of Summons served On Director.pdf; AMG 4784 Stamped Document 14-1 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD; Garrett v Garrett ; Court Letter served On Director.pdf; AMG 4783 Stamped Document 14 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD; Consent to Magistrate executed dated 11.02.2022.pdf; AMG 4782 Stamped Document 15 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD; Garrett v Garrett ; Exhibit Sterling Inquiry ASIC FAILURE BY DESIGN.pdf; AMG 4781 ASIC-Enforcement-Review-Report 2017.pdf; AMG 4780 Sterling Income Trust Report February 2022.pdf; AMG 4779; Rule of Law Omnibus Complaint; 1;22-cv-00173-DDD-STV; Motion for enforcement of Mandamus and Foreign Judgments dated 11th February 2022.pdf; AMG 4651 Case Number 2020CV30030 David Stevens (A Bankrupt) Writ of Quo Warranto; Ouster Office dated 24th January 2022.pdf; AMG 4785 Stamped Document 14-2 Case 122-cv-00173-DDD; Garrett v Garrett ; Copy of Summons served On Director.pdf; AMG 4681 OenoViva Capital Resources Economic Activity Statement fore period ending January 2022 AND HUMAN RIGHTS.pdf
Importance: High

Australian Parliament [sic.] compared with (cf) Australian Parliament (Liquidator and

Managing Controller Appointed)

Attn Legal & Constitutional Affairs Committee
Parliament House, Canberra, Australia.

Cc News Corporation and related entities (Managing Controller Appointed) hereinafter
News Corporation [sic.]

AMG 4792; SERVICE OF RULE OF LAW OMNIBUS COMPLAINT
ON AUSTRALIAN PARLIAMENT [sic.] Case 122-cv-00173-DDD;
Garrett et al v Garrett et al; CONFERRAL OF AUSTRALIAN
JURISDICTION

Dear Committee

By way of service please note the attached Court Sealed Summons to Appear (AMG 4785) in the
above-described case listed in the United States Federal District Court for the District Court of
Colorado in which regard a copy of this communique will be uploaded to the Court File as evidence
attached to my affidavit of Service as required under Rule 4 of the US Federal District Court Rules.

It is my clear view that you do not have standing and that the orders I seek will be made by consent; I
have made this position clear to the court however out of an abundance of caution I serve you in any
event; I note that the Australian Government Solicitor is prevented from appearing for you by s55N of
the Judiciary Act 1903 (AU).

You have been "Doing a Nelson" as evidenced over an extended period that you are "disinclined and
turn a blind eye"; you do not respond to my Notices lawfully served upon you and or read attachments
to those Notices as "Matters of Moment" for this reason I now extract a copy of sections of the
Summons, AMG 4681, AMG 4651, and Exhibit AMG 4779 lodged with the Court on the 11th
February 2022.

I have exercised hereditary discretionary public powers conferred under enactments and conferred the
Australian Jurisdiction on the Constitution of the United States of America as a Result.